



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,610	02/05/2004	Jeasung Jay Yoo	KOYOP101USA	5072
23623	7590	02/14/2006	EXAMINER	
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP 1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 24TH FLOOR, CLEVELAND, OH 44114			HOEY, ALISSA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/772,610

Applicant(s)

YOO, JEASUNG JAY

Examiner

Alissa L. Hoey

Art Unit

3765

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/24/04, 03/29/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 13-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected embodiment, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1/11/06.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: it is unclear what is meant by "the inner pocket including comprise means". Appropriate correction is required.
3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no antecedent basis for "the securing means". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siegel (US 2,073,231).

In regard to claim 1, Siegel teaches a garment comprising an outer garment with an inner pocket (figures 6, 11, 12, 15, 16). A vest/liner having an access slit that facilitates access to the inner pocket of the outer garment (figure 6, identifier 60). The

Art Unit: 3765

vest/liner can be at least partially coupled to the outer garment by means for fastening (26, 27) and the inner pocket (60) is accessible via the access slit when the vest/liner is coupled to the garment (page 2, column 2, lines 44-75 through page 3, column 1, lines 1-6).

In regard to claim 2, Siegel teaches the means for securing that facilitates secure storage of a personal item (figures 15, 16).

In regard to claim 5, Siegel teaches the inner pocket being further accessible from an outer surface of the outer garment via a semi-concealed slit (53).

In regard to claim 6, Siegel teaches the inner pocket material capable of being impervious to some blades.

In regard to claim 9, Siegel teaches the pocket material capable of being heat sensitive to a degree.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3, 4, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel in view of Jordan (US 2002/0189000).

Siegel teaches a garment with a pocket as described above in claim 1. However, Siegel fails to teach a fastening system on the pocket, the garment having seven inner

Art Unit: 3765

pockets or a plurality of inner pockets located symmetrically about a longitudinal axis about the center of the outer garment.

In regard to claim 3, Jordan teaches a means for securing a pocket comprising at least one of a zipper, a flexible hook and loop fastener system, a snap, a button and a hook-and-eye (paragraph 0031).

In regard to claim 4, Jordan teaches the inner pocket being further accessible from an outer surface of the outer garment via a concealed zipper (0026).

In regard to claim 11, Jordan teaches the plurality of inner pockets located symmetrically about a longitudinal axis at about the center of the outer garment (figure 2).

In regard to claim 12, Jordan teaches the garment having seven inner pockets (figure 2).

It would have been obvious to have provided the jacket with pockets of Siegel with the multiple inner pockets of Jordan and the closures, since the jacket of Siegel provided with a greater number of inner pockets would provide more places for the wearer to store items while not needed by the user and the closure means would provide securement to the items when stored inside the pockets.

8. Claims 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel in view of Anderson (US 3,055,133).

Siegel teaches a garment with pockets as described above in claim 1. However, Siegel fails to teach the pockets being made out of waterproof material, anti-magnetic material or a transparent material.

Art Unit: 3765

In regard to claim 7, Anderson teaches an inner pocket comprising a waterproof material due to it's plastic material construction (column 1, lines 25-35).

In regard to claim 8, Anderson teaches a pocket comprising a plastic material and it is capable of being anti-magnetic depending upon the size and strength of the magnet.

In regard to claim 10, Anderson teaches the inner pocket comprising a transparent material (column 1, lines 25-35).

It would have been obvious to have provided the jacket with pocket of Siegel with the plastic inner pocket of Anderson, since the plastic pocket provides not only the ability to see what is inside the pocket but also provide waterproof properties to the pocket so that water cannot penetrate through the pocket and wet the item stored.

Conclusion


9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Letvitas et al., Gongora, Hyman, Epstein, English, Fitch, Bracken, Chujfi, Maeshima, Morera, Zahn, Ingrisano, Nesse, Chittenden, Fox, Busquets, Ost et al., Lisciandro, Rocker, Goldkind, Yoshimura, Majerfeld, Hartbrodt, Briscoe and Jennings are all cited to show closely related garment articles.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alissa L. Hoey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Alissa L. Hoey
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 3700